



**ACADEMIC SENATE  
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE  
MINUTES  
MARCH 17, 2026**

**11:30 AM - 12:50 PM**

**CP-1060-05**

Present: Bono, Childers, Gradilla, Graewingholt, Jarvis, Kanel, Kleinjans, Milligan, Swarat, Wood

## **I. CALL TO ORDER**

- Chair Jarvis called the meeting to order at 11:30 am.

## **II. ANNOUNCEMENTS**

- No announcements.

## **III. URGENT BUSINESS**

- No urgent business.

## **IV. APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

- M/S/P (Kanel/Kleinjans) Motion to approve the February 24<sup>th</sup> minutes. Motion passed.

4.1 EC Minutes 2-24-26 (draft)

- M/S/P (Kanel/Kleinjans) Motion to approve the March 3<sup>rd</sup> minutes. Motion passed.

4.2 EC Minutes 3-3-26 (draft)

4.3 EC Minutes 3-10-26 (draft) - *forthcoming*

## **V. CHAIR'S REPORT**

- The AA/AS Retreat was on Friday. We had a good turnout and discussions. If you ask AI to summarize the notes, it thinks there was consensus around the idea of having AI literacy. But if you actually read the notes, you will realize that AI is not yet coming for our jobs. John Brusckhe's table did not consent to the idea of an AI literacy course. What's interesting is I asked AI to summarize the meeting notes, and it did a terrible job.

Q: (Kanel) Why did Jon's table not want a literacy course?

- (Bono) In Jon's defense, I was at his table in the second round, and we didn't even talk about AI, we talked about it in the first round at the GE table.
- (Kanel) If we don't offer degrees on it, the community colleges are going to and then we lose enrollment to the community colleges.
- (Jarvis) From Jon's perspective, it's less on degrees and more on the university banning AI.
- (Wood) I get the principles driving his quest, and they are noble. However, the reality is not real. It's the practical implication, and we have to face those crossroads and how we're going to travel them.
- (Jarvis) I noted one table in the back, it was good for online. It was good that Chris Manriquez was there because a lot of things were coming out that Chris can take care of in IT or through the ATC. So, a lot of things that were coming up are not Senate things but are still valid concerns that can be addressed.
- (Milligan) I think it should be a college department decision. I'm in finance and my students aren't even seeing interviews like they were seeing a year and two years ago. And the reason being is because a lot of the entry level job that they were getting are now being done by AI. The other thing that's interesting is in the actual online job description, they're asking students, what AIs do you use? They call it a stack. What stack do you use? What stack are you comfortable with? So, if my students don't have that type of knowledge as they leave the university, they're not going to find jobs in their field.

I might be the only one in my department, but I've incorporated AI into their classwork. And it's been interesting because some have grasped it and some are horrible at it. But I say to them, it's not a question of whether you think it's right or wrong, it's a question of you need to know how to do this if you plan on working in this industry. So, I am for AI, as the saying goes, the genie is out the bottle.

In my profession, with friends at different universities and in this industry, they all are saying they need to have it. A friend of mine who's a trustee at a private university, they have an AI degree. So, the only thing I can say is that genie's not going back in the bottle, and I can't speak for other departments, other colleges, but in the College of Business, I think it's a must that we teach it to our students..

- (Jarvis) Everyone was supposed to put their minutes into a Google Doc, some of them didn't, so we're still collecting some of people's notes and stuff in this Google Doc. Then I was going to send the Google Doc out, not only to people making comments but to the whole campus.

People who are at the retreat can edit, but everyone else will only be able to make suggestions. Then we'll have to sort of break it up and say which committee it should go to. So, there'll be some work for us once we get that sort of feedback in post.

- (Graewingholt) We have a list of all the UPS documents that could potentially be impacted. The only thing that was a little concerning was that a lot of them would end up at UCC or GE where it might be overly burdensome of those committees, where a task force might be warranted to pull people who have expertise to do it.
- (Bono) One of the things that I think we didn't talk about a lot at the retreat, and that is going to be important is that we do not have consensus on what is appropriate for faculty to use AI for. And people do not agree on this. This is going to be important for us to deal with, at some point. And I don't know how we're going to get to consensus or even any sort of agreement, and that's concerning me.
- (Swarat) I think maybe it's time for us to start thinking about developing AI policy, at a high level. We need some sort of general guideline for the university that we can all point to so that the UCC doesn't have to amend every single policy to deal with it, but just point to one place. I think it's going to be challenging. I think it's going to be very difficult to cover disciplinary differences, but we can stay at the higher level, even if it needs to be decided within each discipline.
- (Kanel) The statewide already passed a resolution clearly stating that the use of AI in a particular class is strictly up to the instructor and that the instructor needs to make that clear. So, can a campus go against a statewide resolution?
- (Jarvis) Yes. Everything they pass as a resolution is non-binding. They have no legislative authority.
- (Swarat) I think these kinds of things are stuff we should spell out, how AI should be used in all policies.
- The Executive Committee went into Executive Session.

## VI. STAFF REPORT

- We will send out a reminder Thursday's AS meeting is taking place.
- The notice announcing the CSU All-University election will go out on Friday. The apportionment notice of the Senate seats will go out on Thursday.

## VII. COMMITTEE LIAISON REPORTS

7.1 University Advancement Board [Gradilla], W-3-11-26, 9:00 - 10:00 AM, Zoom

- No written report submitted.

7.2 Academic Advising Committee [Bono], F-3-13-26, 9:00 - 10:00 AM, PLS-299

- Quorum met.
- Deputy Provost and Financial Aid Director attended meeting to provide clarity on the necessary changes to UPS 300.018 Withdrawal Unauthorized. Primary focus was on why we can't automatically change the first WU to a W, which is the current policy and practice. This current practice violates EO and federal financial aid guidelines.
- Committee will continue to work on that UPS at the next meeting.

## VIII. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

### 8.1 UPS 300.021 - Academic Dishonesty

#### 1. Memorandum - UPS 300.021

- (Jarvis) We are still waiting to hear back from Student Conduct on this. We will discuss this at next week's meeting.

## IX. NEW BUSINESS

### 9.1 Revision to UPS 450.500 - Policy for Non-Academic Credit/CEU Certificate Programs Implementation and Awarding of the Continuing Education Unit

- (Jarvis) Spell out "Continuing Education Unit" in the title of the document.
- (Jarvis) Line 16: Delete the wording "Continuing Education Unit" and replace with "CEU".
- Discussion will continue at next week's meeting.

### 9.2 Senate Forum - WordPress - (Discussion Item)

- (Jarvis) They're getting dinged because the Senate forum website is not ADA fully compliant, so they don't know what to do with it. They're using WordPress and thought that would be compliant, and it's not. So, they're like, how do we do this? They want to keep it in a blog format; IT can't help them program a website to do it. I have a meeting tomorrow with some folks from IT, we're going to see if we can fix it.

We can't use the P card to pay for it because WordPress is not compliant. So, hosting this thing electronically is proving to be more difficult than you would think.

Q: (Graewingholt) I thought it was just teaching materials that had to be accessible?

A: (Jarvis) No, everything has to be compliant.

Q: (Swarat) What if you put it in Canvas?

A: (Jarvis) We could do Canvas. John has been pushing back against it; he wants it to be a blog format.

Q: (Bono) Do people access it if it's on Canvas?

A: (Jarvis) You would have to invite everybody to join canvas.

### 9.3 Election of Appeals Panel Members - (Discussion Item)

#### Suggestions:

- Adam Glesser (NSM)
- Kristin Kleinjans (CBE)
- Kate Bono (HHD)
- Jidong Huang (ECS)
- Stacey Mallicoat (HSS)

### 9.4 UPS 210.300 - Joint Appointments for Tenure-Track and Tenured Faculty - (Discussion Item)

### 9.5 UPS 100.300 - Policy and Procedures for Naming of Facilities, Properties, Colleges, Schools, and Academic Entities - (Discussion Item)

1. Naming Policy Guidelines and Procedures
2. Naming of California State University Academic and Athletic Programs
3. Naming of California State University Facilities and Properties
4. CSUF Guidelines and Procedures for Naming Opportunities

### 9.6 New Scholarship System - (Discussion Item)

## X. URGENT BUSINESS

- No urgent business.

## XI. ADJOURNMENT

- Meeting adjourned at 12:50 pm.